

Digital Trust A Trust over IP Foundation Primer

TRUST Over IP Foundation

Chapter 1: Pre-Internet Era

In-Person Credentials

- Have worked for centuries
- Institutions and technologies are well understood



Understanding Trust How we make trust decisions

Technology

• Is it a legitimate representation?

Governance

- Is the source of the representation trustworthy?
- Where does their authority come from?
- Do they have trusted processes?

DRIVERS LICENSE AND SERVICES CARD British Columbia OLIVEIRA, MARY

OLIVEIRA, MARY

Mary Oliveira







n-Person Trust A familiar model

- Each role in this model operates independently (Issuer, Holder, Verifier)
- Governance is the basis for trust decisions
 - Legislation, Policy, Regulations, Process, Reputation
- Issuers create physical representation of permission
 - Can't replicate when needed (sharing)
 - Can't prevent replication when you don't want \bullet (fraud)
- Verifiers carry a good amount of risk
 - Fraudulent documents
 - Expense of paper
 - Biological viruses live on paper and plastic credentials (puts law enforcement at risk)





n-Person Ceremonies Wax through to Fax

- Signatures on written transactions originates in the 6th century as a means to validate an agreement
- Came into broader use in England with the 1677 Statute of Frauds which stipulated that contracts must exist in writing and bear a signature.
- This general practice continues on to the present day.
- Fundamentally, this is an action taken between the parties directly involved in the transaction, sometimes witnessed by a third party that also provides a signature









Financial Services Case study

- Governance
 - Mastercard is the authority for their payment processing network
 - Issuers: Banks (~25,000)
 - Holders: Cards (~926 M)
 - Verifiers: Merchants (~50 M)
- Technology
 - Physical Card has distinctive design and embedded security measures
 - Fraud risks mitigated by various techniques including PIN, transaction monitoring, merchant behaviour





Government Case study

- Government issues many types of permissions and authorizations
- Foundational Issuances
 - Birth, Death Certificates
 - Legal Entities Registrations
 - Land Registration
- Hundreds of others grounded in foundational credentials
 - Health, Drivers Licences, Education, Social Services, etc.
 - Business licencing, Natural Resources, Climate, etc.
- Economy is underpinned by Government



Chapter 2: Internet Era

Information Technology **Benefits and Gaps**

- Benefits to production efficiencies are clear
- Markets and jobs created

However there is a "Trust Gap"

- Internet is primary driven by E-**Commerce and Online Advertising**
- Large gap when it comes to "High Value" / "High Risk" transactions ... those that we know well how to do In-Person





https://www.iabaustralia.com.au/iab-blog/blog-articles/entry/a-brief-history-of-digital-it-s-always-good-to-reflect



New Risks Global Scale Computing

- Unprecedented scale and global connectivity comes with some new concerns
 - Usability challenges with so many passwords
 - Identity theft risks due to duplication of personal data ... everywhere
 - Unintended side effects of large scale surveillance







Old Challenges Government Service Delivery

- Many efforts, over the years, to find a way to deliver a simple approach for businesses faced with a multiservice/jursidiction journey
 - None have succeeded at any scale (more than a few services)
 - Economy and Government services are constantly changing
 - Our governance model is aligned to In-Person trust
- All these services have one thing in common ...





bc business registry.ca



HM Government)nce



The Accidental Actor Login Account Service

- The operator of the Login Account provider is implicitly part the trust model
- We have no way to systemic means to evaluate the trustworthiness of Login Account providers

Why?

- Originally computers were large, expensive and could only be accessed on-premise and therefore relied on In-Person trust - e.g. physical access control to the "login" terminals
- As remote off-premise "login" to the computer become possible, no solution to the assumption of trusted inperson access to the login terminal arose introducing a "trust gap"
- As computing and networking scaled to global levels new problems and risks emerged as a result of never solving this trust gap





Internet Ceremonies Frustration and Risk

- We simply don't have a comparable ceremony to the In-Person signing ceremony
- All kinds different approaches to trying to create "trusted" interaction but they all involve an intermediary
- The intermediary is strongly incented to monetize these previously private interactions thus creating the risks identified earlier
- A common term used to describe these intermediaries is "platform"



Chapter 3: The Digital Trust Era

A New Approach **Back to the Future**

- Quite simply ... solutions to digital trust, at scale, and over the Internet are not addressable with the technology approaches we have currently at hand
- "Paper-based" solutions clearly aren't going to work (faxing medical test requisitions is still the policy and norm)
- Applying more effort towards existing Internet-era technology models is a dead-end
 - Centralized login account models often runs afoul of our legislative and policy governance models
 - Can't span jurisdiction, legislative, sector and other boundaries people and businesses regularly traverse very easily
 - Can't scale to global levels without massive privacy issues
- What if we had a digital means to allow a person to receive and carry their data to the next stage of their journey?



Economy-scale Digital Trust Trust over IP (the Internet)

- Same end-user mental model as we have with physical wallets, licences, reports and cards
- Each role in this model operates independently (Issuer, Holder, Verifier)
- Governance is the basis for trust decisions
 - Legislation, Policy, Regulations, Process, Reputation
- Privacy preserved, security enhanced, via open standards which enables independence and scale
 - Private cryptographic peer to peer connections (surveillance resistant)
 - Standard cryptographic data exchange protocols between peers (impersonation resistant)
 - Holder is in control of providing verifier with proof of data (privacy preserving)
 - Verifier verifies data from holder without contacting issuer (surveillance resistant)
 - Verifier determines what data they need to verify from what set of issuers (fraud resistant)





rust over IP Foundation **Driving global adoption**

- The purpose of the Trust over IP Foundation is to define a complete architecture for Internet-scale digital trust that combines both cryptographic trust at the machine layer and human trust at the business, legal, and social layers.
- Part of the over 200 projects governed by the Linux Foundation the Trust over IP Foundation provides the market signal need to drive global adoption



FOUNDATION

Trust over IP Foundation Working Groups: getting it done

15 Seats Elected from Steering Members (max 1 seat per member)

Governance Stack Working Group

Members and Invited Experts

Technical Stack Working Group

Members and Invited Experts

Steering Committee

Ecosystem Foundry Working Group

Members and Invited Experts

Utility Foundry Working Group

Members and Invited Experts

Trust over IP Stack The Lens for Digital Trust



✓ Member Directory ✓ Auditor Accreditor ✓ Credential Registry ✓ Authoritative Issuer lssuer ✓ Hardware Provider ✓ Software Provider

✓ Transaction Author ✓ Transaction Endorser



Trust over IP Stack Layer 1 - Rooting Trust



Trust over IP Stack Layer 2 - Trusted Peer to Peer Communications



Trust over IP Stack Layer 3 - Trusted Exchanges



Trust over IP Stack Layer 4 - Trusted Services



✓ Member Directory ✓ Auditor Accreditor ✓ Credential Registry ✓ Authoritative Issuer lssuer ✓ Hardware Provider ✓ Software Provider

✓ Transaction Author ✓ Transaction Endorser





TRUST OVER IP FOUNDATION